# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT EUGENE DISTRICT OFFICE

1791A CE-02-32 2812 Mikesell L.P. E-902

#### Project Title

#### **CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION**

<u>Background</u>: In October of 1997, a 3 year "O&C Logging Road Right-of-Way Permit" was issued to Mikesell Limited Partnership. This action was to allow the hauling of 75 MBF of timber over existing BLM controlled roads. CE-97-78 was completed for the initial permit application E-902. This is the third request for a one year extension of permit E-902 is being completed and approved under CE-02-32.

<u>Proposed Action</u>: The proposed action of this CE is identical in intent and scope to the CE originally signed as CE-97-78. The proposed action is to grant a one year extension to "O&C Logging Road Right-of-Way Permit" E-902 with Mikesell Limited Partnership. The original permit authorized the hauling of 75 MBF of timber over 0.74 miles of BLM- controlled road 19-2-20.1, and 1.00 mile of BLM- controlled road 19-2-29, across the public lands and BLM easements specified on the permit. There will continue to be no new road construction. Road maintenance of roads 19-2-20.1 and 19-2-29 will continue to be BLM maintenance.

<u>Decision</u>: It is my decision to approve the 1 year extension of the "O&C Logging Road Right-of-Way Permit" E-902 with Mikesell Limited Partnership. This action continues to be pursuant to the authority of Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1997 (43 U.S.C. 1761), subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2812 and those additional stipulations in the attached Exhibit A. The extension of the permit shall continue to authorize the use of roads 19-2-20.1, and 19-2-29 across the public lands and interest in lands described on the permit.

#### Rationale

The proposed action is in conformance with the Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl, April 1994 (ROD), and the Eugene District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan, June 1995 (Eugene District ROD/RMP) as amended by the Record of Decision for Amendments to the Survey and Manage, Protection Buffer, and other Mitigation Measures Standards and Guidelines, USDA Forest Service and USDI Bureau of Land Management January 2001.

Prepared by:_	Jerry A. Cr	<u>irostek</u> Dai		6/27/02	27/02	
Approved by:						
McKenzie Fiel	d Manager:_	Emily Rice	Date:_	9/16/02		

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT EUGENE DISTRICT

1791A CE-02-32

### CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW Exception Criteria Review Checklist

Proposed Action: The proposed action of this CE is identical in intent and scope to the CE originally signed as CE-97-78. The proposed action is to grant a one year extension to "O&C Logging Road Right-of-Way Permit" E-902 with Mikesell Limited Partnership. The original permit authorized the hauling of 75MBF of timber over 0.74 miles of BLM- controlled road 19-2-20.1, and 1.00 miles of BLM- controlled road 19-2-29, across the public lands and BLM easements specified on the permit. There will continue to be no new road construction. Road maintenance of roads 19-2-20.1 and 19-2-29 will continue to be BLM maintenance.

Review the proposed action against each of the ten criteria listed below. If the project meets one or more of the criteria, it is an exception from categorical exclusion and <u>MUST</u> be analyzed in an EA or EIS. To qualify as a Categorical Exclusion the proposed action may not meet any of the criteria. If the criterion does not apply, indicate "Not Applicable." Any mitigation measures (such as contract stipulations or terms and conditions on permits) necessary to ensure that the proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion should be identified at the bottom of the page.

Exception Criteria		<u>Comments</u>			
1.	Have significant adverse effects on public health or safety	No			
2.	Have adverse effects on unique resources (i.e., parks, recreation, refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, wetlands, flood plains, etc.)	No			
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects	No			
4.	Have highly uncertain environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks	No			
5.	Establish a precedent that could result in significant impacts	No			
6.	Be directly related to other actions having cumulatively significant effects	No			
7.	Have adverse effects on cultural or historical resources	No			
8.	Have adverse effects on <b>survey and manage species</b> or species listed or proposed as threatened or endangered or have adverse effect on designated critical habitat for these species.	No			
9.	Require compliance with E.O. 11988 (flood plain management), E.O. 11990 (protection of wetlands), or the Fish & Wildlife Coordination Act	No			
10.	Threaten to violate Federal, State, Local or Tribal law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment	No			
11.	Would not be in compliance with State wide BMP	No			
Mitigation measures needed to qualify as CE: None					

Reviewed By: _	Don Wilbur	Date:	7/10/02	
Above mitigatio	n measures have been adopted and will be imp	lemented.		
Field Manager:	Emily Rice	Date:	9/16/02	